# IWASAWA $\lambda$-INVARIANTS AND $\Gamma$-TRANSFORMS 

Anupam Saikia ${ }^{1}$ and Rupam Barman ${ }^{2}$


#### Abstract

In this paper we study a relation between the $\lambda$-invariants of a $p$-adic measure and its $\Gamma$-transform exploiting certain combinatorial identities. Along the way we also determine $p$-adic properties of certain Mahler coefficients.
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## 1. Introduction

Fix an odd prime $p$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers in a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with a local parameter $\pi$. We write $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}=V \times U$ where $V$ is the group of $(p-1)$ st roots of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $U=1+p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Let $u$ be a topological generator of $U$. The projections from $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$onto $V$ and $U$ are denoted by $\omega$ and $<>$ respectively. We have an isomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow U$ given by $\phi(y)=u^{y}$.

Let $\Lambda$ denote the $\mathcal{O}$-valued measures on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. It is well-known, (see e.g. [1]), that $\Lambda$ is a ring under convolution, and is isomorphic to the formal power series ring $\mathcal{O}[[T-1]]$. Explicitly, for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, let

$$
T^{x}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{x}{n}(T-1)^{n} \in \mathcal{O}[[T-1]] .
$$

The power series associated to a measure $\alpha \in \Lambda$ is then defined by

$$
\hat{\alpha}(T)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} T^{x} d \alpha(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n}(\alpha)(T-1)^{n}
$$

where

$$
b_{n}(\alpha)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\binom{x}{n} d \alpha(x)
$$

A classical theorem of Mahler states that any continuous function $f: \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ may be written uniquely in the form

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}(f)\binom{x}{n}
$$

[^0]where $a_{n}(f) \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}, a_{n}(f) \mapsto 0$ as $n \mapsto \infty$. In fact
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}(f)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j}\binom{n}{j} f(j) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

This theorem may be generalized to continuous functions $f: \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow K$, where $K$ is any finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Using this generalization, we obtain the following

$$
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} f(x) d \alpha(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}(f) \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\binom{x}{n} d \alpha(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}(f) b_{n}(\alpha) .
$$

Note that if $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of $K$ and $f: \mathbb{Z}_{p} \mapsto \mathcal{O}$, then $a_{n}(f) \in \mathcal{O}$.
For $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$, denote by $\alpha \circ a$ the measure on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ given by $\alpha \circ a(A)=\alpha(a A)$ for all compact open subsets $A$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Also, for a compact open subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, we let $\left.\alpha\right|_{A}$ denote the measure obtained by restricting $\alpha$ to $A$ and extending by 0 .

The $\Gamma$-transform of a measure $\alpha$ is defined as a function of the $p$-adic variable $s$ given by

$$
\Gamma_{\alpha}(s)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}}<x>^{s} d \alpha(x)
$$

Splitting up the integral, and putting $d \alpha(a x)$ for $d \alpha \circ a(x)$, we can also write

$$
\Gamma_{\alpha}(s)=\sum_{\eta \in V} \int_{U}<\eta x>^{s} d \alpha(\eta x)=\int_{U} x^{s} d \beta(x)
$$

where

$$
\beta=\left.\sum_{\eta \in V}(\alpha \circ \eta)\right|_{U},
$$

a measure on $U$.
Now the measure $\beta$ may be viewed as a measure on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ via the isomorphism $\phi$ :

$$
\tilde{\beta}(A)=\beta(\phi(A)) .
$$

It is customary to write $d \beta\left(u^{y}\right)$ for $d \tilde{\beta}(y)$. Let $G(T)$ be the power series associated to $\tilde{\beta}$, that is,

$$
G(T)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} T^{y} d \beta\left(u^{y}\right)
$$

Then $\Gamma_{\alpha}(s)=G\left(u^{s}\right)$, so that $\Gamma_{\alpha}(s)$ is an Iwasawa function over $\mathcal{O}$.

## 2. Iwasawa $\lambda$-invariants and $\Gamma$ - transforms

The Iwasawa $\mu$ and $\lambda$ - invariants of a power series

$$
F(T)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}(T-1)^{n} \in \mathcal{O}[[T-1]]
$$

are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu(F(T))=\min \left\{\operatorname{ord}\left(a_{n}\right): n \geq 0\right\} \\
& \lambda(F(T))=\min \left\{n: \operatorname{ord}\left(a_{n}\right)=\mu(F(T))\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For a measure $\alpha$, we understand $\mu(\alpha)$ and $\lambda(\alpha)$ to mean $\mu(\hat{\alpha}(T))$ and $\lambda(\hat{\alpha}(T))$.
Let $\alpha \in \Lambda$ be a $\mathcal{O}$-valued measures on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Let $u$ be a fixed topological generator of $U=1+p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, and let $G(T)$ satisfy $G\left(u^{s}\right)=\Gamma_{\alpha}(s)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(T)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} T^{y} d \beta\left(u^{y}\right), \text { where } \beta=\left.\sum_{\eta \in V}(\alpha \circ \eta)\right|_{U} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\beta$ is a measure on $U$. We extend $\beta$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ by 0 and then we get a power series $\hat{\beta}(T)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n}(T-1)^{n}$. Suppose that $G(T)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{n}(T-1)^{n}$. Sinnott in his paper [4] proved that $\mu(G(T))=\mu\left(\alpha^{*}+\alpha^{*} \circ(-1)\right)$, if $\hat{\alpha}(T)$ is a rational function of $T$. Here $\alpha^{*}=\left.\alpha\right|_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}}$. It was Kida who first obtained a relation between the $\lambda$-invariant of a measure and its Gamma-Transform with a fixed topological generator [2]. Later, Nancy Childress proved the following results in her paper [1]:
Result 2.1. $\mu(G(T))=\mu(\beta)$.
Result 2.2. Suppose $\lambda(G(T)) \leq p$, then $\lambda(\beta)=p \lambda(G(T))$.
She remarked that it would be interesting to know whether her methods can be extended for larger $\lambda(G(T))$. Satoh obtained the same result without any condition on $\lambda(G(T))$, but his approach was based on certain properties of Stirling numbers [3]. In this paper we prove the following main result in the spirit of Childress.
Theorem 2.3. Suppose $\lambda(G(T)) \leq 2 p$, then $\lambda(\beta)=p \lambda(G(T))$.
We will prove this theorem exploiting certain combinatorial identities, which we shall prove in the next section. Through our approach we also derive certain $p$-adic properties of Mahler coefficients. Note that the relation between $b_{m}$ and $g_{m}$ is given by the following result in Childress [1].

Result 2.4. If $n \geq \operatorname{ord}_{p}(m!)$, then $b_{m} \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{n} g_{r} a_{r}\left(f_{m}\right)(\bmod p)$.
Here, $a_{m}\left(f_{n}\right)$ s are the Mahler coefficients of $f_{n}(x)=\binom{u^{x}}{n}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m}\left(f_{n}\right)\binom{x}{m}$. We will investigate $p$-adic properties of the Mahler coefficients $a_{m}\left(f_{n}\right)$. In order to study the Mahler coefficients $a_{m}\left(f_{n}\right)$ we will require certain identities involving binomial coefficients, which will be established in a combinatorial fashion in the next section.

## 3. Certain Combinatorial Identities

The following result was a crucial ingredient in the work of Childress [1].

## Result 3.1.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}\binom{n}{i}\binom{t i}{n}=t^{n}
$$

Here we will prove a more general result.
Lemma 3.2. For non-negative integers $n, t, k$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}\binom{n}{i}\binom{t(i+k)}{n}=t^{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The result is obvious for $t=0$ or $n=0$. So we assume $n, t \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$. Let $N, N^{\prime}, T$ be sets such that $N \subseteq N^{\prime},|N|=n,\left|N^{\prime}\right|=n+k$, and $|T|=t$. Let $R$ be the set of all $n$-subsets of $N^{\prime} \times T$. Clearly $|R|=\binom{t(n+k)}{n}$. Also, for $a \in N$, let $R_{a}$ be the set of all $n$-subsets $A$ of $N^{\prime} \times T$ such that $(a, b) \notin A$ for any $b \in T$. Obviously $R_{a}$ is the set of all $n$-subsets of $\left(N^{\prime}-\{a\}\right) \times T$ and hence $\left|R_{a}\right|=\binom{t(n+k-1)}{n}$.

For $I \subseteq N$, let $R_{I}$ be the set of all $n$-subsets $A$ of $N^{\prime} \times T$ such that $(a, b) \notin A$ for any $a \in I$ and for any $b \in T$. Clearly $R_{I}$ is the set of all $n$-subsets of $\left(N^{\prime}-I\right) \times T$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{I}\right|=\binom{t(n+k-i)}{n}, \text { where }|I|=i \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $I=\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i}\right\}$, then clearly $R_{I}=R_{a_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap R_{a_{i}}$. Thus $\left|R_{a_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap R_{a_{i}}\right|=\binom{t(n+k-i)}{n}$. By inclusion-exclusion principle, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\bigcup_{a \in N} R_{a}\right|= & \sum_{a \in N}\left|R_{a}\right|-\sum_{\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\} \subseteq N}\left|R_{a_{1}} \cap R_{a_{2}}\right|+\cdots+(-1)^{i+1} \sum_{\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i}\right\} \subseteq N}\left|R_{a_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap R_{a_{i}}\right| \\
& +\cdots+(-1)^{n+1}\left|\bigcap_{a \in N} R_{a}\right| \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+1}\binom{n}{i}\binom{(n+k-i) t}{n} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|R-\bigcup_{a \in N} R_{a}\right|=|R|-\left|\bigcup_{a \in N} R_{a}\right| & =\binom{t(n+k)}{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+1}\binom{n}{i}\binom{(n+k-i) t}{n} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i}\binom{t(n+k-i)}{n} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}\binom{n}{i}\binom{t(i+k)}{n} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

A function $f: N \rightarrow T$ may be viewed as an $n$-subset of $N \times T$. Conversely, an $n$-subset $A \subseteq N \times T$ defines a function $f: N \rightarrow T$ if and only if the cardinality of the set $\{a \in N:(a, b) \in A$ for some $b \in T\}$ is equal to $n$. Therefore, it is not difficult to see that there is a one-to-one correspondence between $R-\bigcup_{a \in N} R_{a}$ and the set of all functions from $N$ to $T$. Thus $\left|R-\bigcup_{a \in N} R_{a}\right|=t^{n}$, which proves the result because of (3.4).

Remark 3.3. The result (3.1) of Childress is nothing but lemma (3.2) with $k=0$.
Lemma 3.4. For non-negative integers $n, t$ with $n>1$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}\binom{n}{i}\binom{t i}{n-1}=0
$$

Proof: Since $n>1$, we have $\binom{n}{i}=\binom{n-1}{i}+\binom{n-1}{i-1}$. Using this and Lemma (3.2) for $k=1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}\binom{n}{i}\binom{t i}{n-1} \\
& =\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}\binom{n-1}{i}\binom{t i}{n-1}\right\}+\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}\binom{n-1}{i-1}\binom{t i}{n-1}\right\} \\
& =-\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-1-i}\binom{n-1}{i}\binom{t i}{n-1}\right\}+\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-1-i}\binom{n-1}{i}\binom{t(i+1)}{n-1}\right\} \\
& =-t^{n-1}+t^{n-1} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. p-adic properties of Mahler coefficients $a_{m}\left(f_{n}\right)$

Let us fix a topological generator $u=1+t_{1} p+t_{2} p^{2}+\cdots$ of $1+p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Hence $t_{1}$ is a unit. It is not difficult to see that
$(1+T)^{u^{p+n}} \equiv(1+T)\left(1+T^{p}\right)^{n t_{1}}\left(1+T^{p^{2}}\right)^{t_{1}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2} t_{1}^{2}+n t_{2}}+$ higher order terms $(\bmod p)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+T)^{u^{n}} \equiv(1+T)\left(1+T^{p}\right)^{n t_{1}}\left(1+T^{p^{2}}\right)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2} t_{1}^{2}+n t_{2}}+\text { higher order terms }(\bmod p) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these binomial expansions, we prove the following lemmas about the Mahler coefficients $a_{m}\left(f_{n}\right)$ for different $m$ and $n$.
Lemma 4.1. Suppose that $1 \leq k<p$ and $p^{2}+(k-1) p \leq m<p^{2}+k p$. Then

$$
a_{p+k}\left(f_{m}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p) .
$$

Proof: From (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{p+k}\left(f_{m}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{p+k}(-1)^{p+k-j}\binom{p+k}{j}\binom{u^{j}}{m} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, $\binom{u^{j}}{m}$ is the co-efficient of $T^{m}$ in the expansion of $(1+T)^{u^{j}}$. Clearly, if $p^{2}+(k-1) p \leq$ $m<p^{2}+k p$ and $m \neq p^{2}+(k-1) p, p^{2}+(k-1) p+1$, then from (4.1) and (4.2) we find that the co-efficient of $T^{m}$ in $(1+T)^{u^{j}}$ is zero modulo $p$. Also, co-efficients of $T^{m}$ modulo $p$ in $(1+T)^{u^{j}}$ are equal for $m=p^{2}+(k-1) p, p^{2}+(k-1) p+1$. Thus, to prove that $a_{p+k}\left(f_{m}\right)$ is zero modulo $p$ when $m=p^{2}+(k-1) p, p^{2}+(k-1) p+1$, we need to prove for $m=p^{2}+(k-1) p$ only. If $k=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{p+1}\left(f_{p^{2}}\right) \equiv-\binom{u}{p^{2}}-\binom{u^{p}}{p^{2}}+\binom{u^{p+1}}{p^{2}} \equiv-t_{2}-t_{1}+\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we assume that $k>1$. From (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\binom{u^{j}}{m} & =\text { co-efficient of } T^{m} \text { in the expansion of }(1+T)^{u^{j}} \\
& \equiv\binom{j t_{1}}{k-1}\left\{\frac{j(j-1)}{2} t_{1}^{2}+j t_{2}\right\}(\bmod p) \text { if } j<p \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{u^{j}}{m} \equiv\binom{i t_{1}}{k-1}\left\{t_{1}+\frac{i(i-1)}{2} t_{1}^{2}+i t_{2}\right\}(\bmod p) \text { if } j=p+i, 0 \leq i<p . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{p+k}\left(f_{m}\right)= & \sum_{j=0}^{p+k}(-1)^{p+k-j}\binom{p+k}{j}\binom{u^{j}}{m} \\
& \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{p+k-j}\binom{p+k}{j}\binom{j t_{1}}{k-1}\left\{\frac{j(j-1)}{2} t_{1}^{2}+j t_{2}\right\} \\
& +\sum_{j=p}^{p+k}(-1)^{p+k-j}\binom{p+k}{j}\binom{u^{j}}{m} \\
\equiv & -\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-j}\binom{p+k}{j}\binom{j t_{1}}{k-1}\left\{\frac{j(j-1)}{2} t_{1}^{2}+j t_{2}\right\} \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-j}\binom{p+k}{k-j}\binom{j t_{1}}{k-1}\left\{t_{1}+\frac{j(j-1)}{2} t_{1}^{2}+j t_{2}\right\}(\bmod p) \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, $\binom{p+k}{j} \equiv\binom{k}{j}(\bmod p)$ and hence (4.7) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{p+k}\left(f_{m}\right) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-j}\binom{k}{j}\binom{j t_{1}}{k-1} t_{1}(\bmod p) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma (3.4), we complete the proof of $a_{p+k}\left(f_{m}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ when $m=$ $p^{2}+(k-1) p$ and this completes the proof of the lemma.
Lemma 4.2. Suppose that $1 \leq k<p$. Then

$$
a_{p+k}\left(f_{p^{2}+k p}\right) \equiv t_{1}^{k+1}(\bmod p) \text { and } a_{p+k+1}\left(f_{p^{2}+k p}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p)
$$

Proof: Proceeding as Lemma (4.1), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{p+k}\left(f_{p^{2}+k p}\right) & \equiv t_{1} \times\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-j}\binom{k}{j}\binom{j t_{1}}{k}\right\}(\bmod p) \\
\text { and } \quad a_{p+k+1}\left(f_{p^{2}+k p}\right) & \equiv t_{1} \times\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{k+1}(-1)^{k+1-j}\binom{k+1}{j}\binom{j t_{1}}{k}\right\}(\bmod p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using result (3.1) and lemma (3.4), we complete the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 4.3. Suppose that $2 p^{2}-p \leq m<2 p^{2}$. Then $a_{2 p}\left(f_{m}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Also, $a_{2 p}\left(f_{2 p^{2}}\right) \equiv t_{1}^{2}(\bmod p), a_{2 p+1}\left(f_{2 p^{2}}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, and $a_{2 p+2}\left(f_{2 p^{2}}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.

Proof: Suppose that $2 p^{2}-p \leq m<2 p^{2}$. From (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2 p}\left(f_{m}\right) & =\sum_{j=0}^{2 p}(-1)^{2 p-j}\binom{2 p}{j}\binom{u^{j}}{m} \\
& \equiv-\binom{2 p}{p}\binom{u^{p}}{m}+\binom{u^{2 p}}{m} \\
& \equiv \text { co-efficient of } T^{m} \text { in }\left\{-\binom{2 p}{p} \times(1+T)^{u^{p}}+(1+T)^{u^{2 p}}\right\} \\
& \equiv 0(\bmod p) . \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain (4.9) using the binomial expansion (4.1).
Again,

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2 p}\left(f_{2 p^{2}}\right) & \equiv-\binom{2 p}{p}\binom{u^{p}}{2 p^{2}}+\binom{u^{2 p}}{2 p^{2}} \\
& \equiv \text { co-efficient of } T^{2 p^{2}} \text { in }\left\{-\binom{2 p}{p} \times(1+T)^{u^{p}}+(1+T)^{u^{2 p}}\right\} \\
& \equiv-2\binom{t_{1}}{2}+\binom{2 t_{1}}{2} \\
& \equiv t_{1}^{2}(\bmod p) \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, modulo $p$

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2 p+1}\left(f_{2 p^{2}}\right) & \equiv\binom{u}{2 p^{2}}+\binom{2 p+1}{p}\left\{\binom{u^{p}}{2 p^{2}}-\binom{u^{p+1}}{2 p^{2}}\right\}-\binom{u^{2 p}}{2 p^{2}}+\binom{u^{2 p+1}}{2 p^{2}} \\
\equiv & \equiv \text { co-efficient of } T^{2 p^{2}} \text { in }(1+T)^{u}+\binom{2 p+1}{p}\left\{(1+T)^{u^{p}}-(1+T)^{u^{p+1}}\right\} \\
& -(1+T)^{u^{2 p}}+(1+T)^{u^{2 p+1}} \\
& \equiv\binom{t_{2}}{2}+\binom{2 p+1}{p}\left\{\binom{t_{1}}{2}-\binom{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}\right\}-\binom{2 t_{1}}{2}+\binom{2 t_{1}+t_{2}}{2} . \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

But, $\binom{2 p+1}{p} \equiv 2(\bmod p)$. Using this in (4.11), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2 p+1}\left(f_{2 p^{2}}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we prove that $a_{2 p+2}\left(f_{2 p^{2}}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.

Using $\binom{2 p+2}{p} \equiv 2(\bmod p)$ and $\binom{2 p+2}{p+1} \equiv 4(\bmod p)$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2 p+2}\left(f_{2 p^{2}}\right) \equiv & -2\binom{u}{2 p^{2}}+\binom{u^{2}}{2 p^{2}}-2\binom{u^{p}}{2 p^{2}}+4\binom{u^{p+1}}{2 p^{2}} \\
& -2\binom{u^{p+2}}{2 p^{2}}+\binom{u^{2 p}}{2 p^{2}}-2\binom{u^{2 p+1}}{2 p^{2}}+\binom{u^{2 p+2}}{2 p^{2}} \\
\equiv & \text { co-efficient of } T^{2 p^{2}} \text { in }-2(1+T)^{u}+(1+T)^{u^{2}}-2(1+T)^{u^{p}}+4(1+T)^{u^{p+1}} \\
& -2(1+T)^{u^{p+2}}+(1+T)^{u^{2 p}}-2(1+T)^{u^{2 p+1}}+(1+T)^{u^{2 p+2}} \\
\equiv & -2\binom{t_{2}}{2}+\binom{t_{1}^{2}+2 t_{2}}{2}-2\binom{t_{1}}{2}+4\binom{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}-2\binom{t_{1}^{2}+t_{1}+2 t_{2}}{2} \\
& \quad+\binom{2 t_{1}}{2}-2\binom{2 t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}+\binom{t_{1}^{2}+2 t_{1}+2 t_{2}}{2} \\
\equiv & 0(\bmod p) . \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

## 5. Proof of Main Result

Now we have all the ingredients for the proof of the main result. We may assume that $\mu(G(T))=0$, because $\mu(G(T))=\mu(\beta)$ by result (2.1), and for any power series $F(T) \in \mathcal{O}[[T-1]]$, if $\pi \mid F(T)$ then $\lambda\left(\pi^{-1} F(T)\right)=\lambda(F(T))$. Childress in her paper [1] proved that if $\lambda(G(T)) \leq p$, then $\lambda(\beta)=p \lambda(G(T))$. Hence it is enough to prove the Theorem (2.3) for $p<\lambda(G(T)) \leq 2 p$.

Case (i): Suppose that $\lambda(G)=p+k$ where $0<k<p$. Then $g_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod \pi)$ for $i=0, \cdots, p+k-1$ and $g_{p+k}$ is a unit. Clearly, $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\left(p^{2}+k p\right)!\right)=p+k+1$ and if $m<p^{2}+k p$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(m!) \leq p+k$. Also, if $m<p^{2}+(k-1) p$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(m!)<p+k$. Using result (2.4) and $g_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod \pi)$ for $i=0, \cdots, p+k-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{m} \equiv 0(\bmod \pi) \text { if } m<p^{2}+(k-1) p \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{m} \equiv g_{p+k} a_{p+k}\left(f_{m}\right)(\bmod \pi) \text { if } p^{2}+(k-1) p \leq m<p^{2}+k p \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

¿From lemma (4.1) and (5.2), we get $b_{m} \equiv 0(\bmod \pi)$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{m} \equiv 0(\bmod \pi) \text { if } m<p^{2}+k p \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\left(p^{2}+k p\right)!\right)=p+k+1$, using Lemma (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{p^{2}+k p} & \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{p+k+1} g_{r} a_{r}\left(f_{p^{2}+k p}\right)(\bmod p) \\
& \equiv g_{p+k} a_{p+k}\left(f_{p^{2}+k p}\right)+g_{p+k+1} a_{p+k+1}\left(f_{p^{2}+k p}\right)(\bmod \pi) \\
& \equiv g_{p+k} t_{1}^{k+1}(\bmod \pi) \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a unit in $\mathcal{O}$. This proves that $\lambda(\beta)=p^{2}+k p=p \lambda(G(T))$.
Case (ii): Now suppose that $\lambda(G(T))=2 p$. Then $g_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod \pi)$ for $i=0, \cdots, 2 p-1$ and $g_{2 p}$ is a unit in $\mathcal{O}$. If $m<2 p^{2}-p$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(m!)<2 p$ and hence from result (2.4),
we have $b_{m} \equiv 0(\bmod \pi)$. If $2 p^{2}-p \leq m<2 p^{2}$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(m!) \leq 2 p$ and hence from result (2.4) and lemma (4.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{m} \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{2 p} g_{r} a_{r}\left(f_{m}\right)(\bmod p) \equiv g_{2 p} a_{2 p}\left(f_{m}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod \pi) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $m<2 p^{2}$, then $b_{m} \equiv 0(\bmod \pi)$. Again, $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\left(2 p^{2}\right)!\right)=2 p+2$ and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{2 p^{2}} & \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{2 p+2} g_{r} a_{r}\left(f_{m}\right)(\bmod p) \\
& \equiv g_{2 p} a_{2 p}\left(f_{2 p^{2}}\right)+g_{2 p+1} a_{2 p+1}\left(f_{2 p^{2}}\right)+g_{2 p+2} a_{2 p+2}\left(f_{2 p^{2}}\right)(\bmod \pi) \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

¿From (4.10), (4.12), (4.13), and (5.6), we have $b_{2 p^{2}} \equiv g_{2 p} t_{1}^{2}(\bmod \pi)$. Therefore, $b_{2 p^{2}}$ is a unit in $\mathcal{O}$ and hence $\lambda(\beta)=2 p^{2}=p \lambda(G(T))$. This completes the proof of the main theorem.
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