Multivariable problem with equality and inequality constraints

Rajib Bhattacharjya Department of Civil Engineering IIT Guwahati Email: <u>rkbc@iitg.ernet.in</u>

General formulation



Min/Max f(X)Where $X = [x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n]^T$ $g_j(X) = 0$ j = 1, 2, 3, ..., mSubject to This is the minimum point of the function Now this is not the minimum point of the constrained function This is the new minimum point $\mathsf{g}(X)=0$ Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

Consider a two variable problem



Take total derivative of the function at (x_1, x_2)

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} dx_1 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} dx_2 = 0$$

If (x_1, x_2) is the solution of the constrained problem, then

 $g(x_1, x_2) = 0$

Now any variation dx_1 and dx_2 is admissible only when

 $g(x_1 + dx_1, x_2 + dx_2) = 0$

CE 602: Optimization Method

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG



Consider a two variable problem



Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG



CE 602: Optimization Method

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

Min/Max $f(x_1, x_2)$

Subject to $g(x_1, x_2) = 0$

We have already obtained the condition that

Subject to
$$g(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

We have already obtained the condition that
 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$ $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}\right) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
By defining $\lambda = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}$ We have $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$
We can also $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$

Let us define

$$L(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = f(x_1, x_2) + \lambda g(x_1, x_2)$$

 $\mu_{(x_1, x_2, x_j)} = J(x_1, x_2) + \lambda g(x_1, x_2)$ By applying necessary condition of optimality, we can obtain

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$$

Necessary conditions for optimality

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = g(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

Sufficient condition for optimality of the Lagrange function can be written as

L(x)	x_1, x_2, λ	=f(x)	(x_1, x_2) -	+ $\lambda g(x_1, x_2)$	INTO		
H =	$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \lambda \partial x_1} \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \lambda \partial x_2}$	$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial \lambda} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial \lambda} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \lambda \partial \lambda} \end{bmatrix}$	$H = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1$	$ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} $	$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2}$	$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}$ $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2}$ 0

If H is positive definite, the optimal solution is a minimum point If H is negative definite, the optimal solution is a maximum point Else it is neither minima nor maxima

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

. Ca



Necessary conditions for general problem

 Min/Max
 f(X) Where $X = [x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n]^T$

 Subject to
 $g_j(X) = 0$ j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m

$$L(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, ..., \lambda_m) = f(X) + \lambda_1 g_1(X) + \lambda_2 g_2(X), ..., \lambda_m g_m(X)$$

Necessary conditions
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

Necessary conditions

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_i} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_j} = g_j(X) = 0$$

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG



Sufficient condition for general problem The hessian matrix is

Where,

 $\partial x_i \partial x_j$

 $\int \int \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial g_i}$

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG





Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

CE 602: Optimization Method



Where
$$Y = [y_1, y_2, y_3, ..., y_m]^T$$

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG



Minimize f(X) Where $X = [x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n]^T$

Subject to $G_j(X,Y) = g_j(X) + y_j^2 = 0$ j = 1,2,3,...,m

The Lagrange function can be written as

$$L(X,Y,\lambda) = f(X) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j G_j(X,Y)$$

The necessary conditions of optimality can be written as

$$\frac{\partial L(X,Y,\lambda)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \frac{\partial g_j(X)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \qquad i = 1,2,3,\dots,n$$
$$\frac{\partial L(X,Y,\lambda)}{\partial \lambda_j} = G_j(X,Y) = g_j(X) + y_j^2 = 0 \qquad j = 1,2,3,\dots,m$$
$$\frac{\partial L(X,Y,\lambda)}{\partial y_j} = 2\lambda_j y_j = 0 \qquad j = 1,2,3,\dots,m$$

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

And Find River of Tachnology

CE 602: Optimization Method

ICENIT

From equation $\frac{\partial L(X,Y,\lambda)}{\partial y_j} = 2\lambda_j y_j = 0$ Either $\lambda_j = 0$ Or, $y_j = 0$ If $\lambda_j = 0$, the constraint is not active, hence can be ignored

If $y_i = 0$, the constraint is active, hence have to consider

Now, consider all the active constraints, Say set J_1 is the active constraints And set J_2 is the active constraints

The optimality condition can be written as

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j \in J_1} \lambda_j \frac{\partial g_j(X)}{\partial x_i} &= 0 \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n \\ g_j(X) &= 0 \qquad \qquad j \in J_1 \\ g_j(X) + y_j^2 &= 0 \qquad \qquad j \in J_2 \end{split}$$

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG



$$-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \lambda_1 \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_i} + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_i} + \lambda_3 \frac{\partial g_3}{\partial x_i} + \dots + \lambda_p \frac{\partial g_p}{\partial x_i}$$

$$-\nabla f = \lambda_1 \nabla g_1 + \lambda_2 \nabla g_2 + \lambda_3 \nabla g_3 + \dots + \lambda_m \nabla g_m$$

This indicates that negative of the gradient of the objective function can be expressed as a linear combination of the gradients of the active constraints at optimal point.

$$-\nabla f = \lambda_1 \nabla g_1 + \lambda_2 \nabla g_2$$

Let *S* be a feasible direction, then we can write

 $-S^T \nabla f = \lambda_1 S^T \nabla g_1 + \lambda_2 S^T \nabla g_2$

Since *S* is a feasible direction

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n

 $S^T \nabla g_1 < 0$ and $S^T \nabla g_2 < 0$



If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ Then the term $S^T \nabla f$ is +ve

This indicates that S is a direction of increasing function value

Thus we can conclude that if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$, we will not get any better solution than the current solution







Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

The necessary conditions to be satisfied at constrained minimum points X^* are

$$\frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j \in J_1} \lambda_j \frac{\partial g_j(X)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n$$
$$\lambda_j \ge 0 \qquad j \in J_1$$



These conditions are called **Kuhn-Tucker conditions**, the necessary conditions to be satisfied at a relative minimum of f(X).

These conditions are in general not sufficient to ensure a relative minimum, However, in case of a convex problem, these conditions are the necessary and sufficient conditions for global minimum.

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

If the set of active constraints are not known, the Kuhn-Tucker conditions can be stated as





Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

Multivariable problem with equality and inequality constraints

For the problem

Minimize f(X) Where $X = [x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n]^T$ Subject to $g_j(X) = 0$ j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m $k_k(X) = 0$ k = 1, 2, 3, ..., pThe Kuhn-Tucker conditions can be written as

$$\frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \frac{\partial g_j(X)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k \frac{\partial h_k(X)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n$$

$$\lambda_j g_j = 0 \qquad \qquad j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m$$

$$g_j \le 0 \qquad \qquad j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m$$

$$h_k = 0 \qquad \qquad k = 1, 2, 3, ..., p$$

$$\lambda_j \ge 0 \qquad \qquad j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m$$

$$k = 1, 2, 3, ..., p$$

$$j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m$$
(For example, a lited equation of the second second

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG



602: Optimization Method



Thanks for your attention

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG