Multivariable problem with equality and inequality constraints

Prof. (Dr.) Rajib Kumar Bhattacharjya



Professor, Department of Civil Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, India Room No. 005, M Block Email: <u>rkbc@iitg.ernet.in</u>, Ph. No 2428

General formulation



Min/Max f(X) Where $X = [x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n]^T$

Subject to $g_j(X) = 0$ j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m



- This is the minimum point of the function

Now this is not the minimum point of the constrained function

This is the new minimum point

Consider a two variable problem

the indian the of Technology

Min/Max $f(x_1, x_2)$ Subject to $g(x_1, x_2) = 0$ $g(x_1, x_2) = 0$

Take total derivative of the function at (x_1, x_2)

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} dx_1 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} dx_2 = 0$$

If (x_1, x_2) is the solution of the constrained problem, then

 $g(x_1, x_2) = 0$

Now any variation dx_1 and dx_2 is admissible only when

 $g(x_1 + dx_1, x_2 + dx_2) = 0$

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

Consider a two variable problem



Min/Max $g(x_1 + dx_1, x_2 + dx_2) = 0$ $f(x_1, x_2)$ This can be expanded as Subject to $g(x_1, x_2) = 0$ $g(x_1 + dx_1, x_2 + dx_2) = g(x_1, x_2) + \frac{\partial g(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1} dx_1 + \frac{\partial g(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2} dx_2 = 0$ $g(x_1, x_2) = 0$ $dg = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} dx_1 + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} dx_2 = 0$ $dx_{2} = -\frac{\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{1}}}{\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{2}}}dx_{1}$



Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

Min/Max $f(x_1, x_2)$

Subject to $g(x_1, x_2) = 0$

We have already obtained the condition that



Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

Let us define

$$L(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = f(x_1, x_2) + \lambda g(x_1, x_2)$$

By applying necessary condition of optimality, we can obtain

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = 0$$

Necessary conditions for optimality

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = g(x_1, x_2) = 0$$



Sufficient condition for optimality of the Lagrange function can be written as



If *H* is positive definite , the optimal solution is a minimum point If *H* is negative definite , the optimal solution is a maximum point Else it is neither minima nor maxima



tri Rint to the state of the st

Necessary conditions for general problem

Min/Max f(X) Where $X = [x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n]^T$

Subject to $g_j(X) = 0$ j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_m) = f(X) + \lambda_1 g_1(X) + \lambda_2 g_2(X), \dots, \lambda_m g_m(X)$$

Necessary conditions

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_i} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_j} = g_j(X) = 0$$

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

Sufficient condition for general problem The hessian matrix is

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} & L_{13} & \dots & L_{1n} & g_{11} & g_{21} & \dots & g_{m1} \\ L_{21} & L_{22} & L_{23} & \dots & L_{2n} & g_{12} & g_{22} & \dots & g_{m2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ L_{n1} & L_{n2} & L_{n3} & \dots & L_{nn} & g_{1n} & g_{1n} & \dots & g_{mn} \\ g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} & \dots & g_{1n} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{23} & \vdots & g_{2n} & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ g_{31} & g_{32} & g_{33} & \dots & g_{3n} & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ g_{m1} & g_{m2} & g_{m3} & \dots & g_{2n} & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Where,

$$L_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j}$$





Minimize f(X) Where $X = [x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n]^T$

Subject to $g_j(X) \le 0$ j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m

We can write $g_j(X) + y_j^2 = 0$

Thus the problem can be written as

 Minimize
 f(X)

 Subject to
 $G_j(X,Y) = g_j(X) + y_j^2 = 0$ j = 1,2,3,...,m

Where $Y = [y_1, y_2, y_3, ..., y_m]^T$



Minimize f(X) Where $X = [x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n]^T$

Subject to $G_j(X, Y) = g_j(X) + y_j^2 = 0$ j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m

The Lagrange function can be written as

$$L(X, Y, \lambda) = f(X) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j G_j(X, Y)$$

The necessary conditions of optimality can be written as

$$\frac{\partial L(X,Y,\lambda)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \frac{\partial g_j(X)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \qquad i = 1,2,3,\dots,n$$
$$\frac{\partial L(X,Y,\lambda)}{\partial \lambda_j} = G_j(X,Y) = g_j(X) + y_j^2 = 0 \qquad j = 1,2,3,\dots,m$$
$$\frac{\partial L(X,Y,\lambda)}{\partial y_j} = 2\lambda_j y_j = 0 \qquad j = 1,2,3,\dots,m$$



Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG

From equation

 $\frac{\partial L(X,Y,\lambda)}{\partial y_j} = 2\lambda_j y_j = 0$

Either $\lambda_j = 0$ Or, $y_j = 0$ If $\lambda_j = 0$, the constraint is not active, hence can be ignored

If $y_i = 0$, the constraint is active, hence have to consider

Now, consider all the active constraints, Say set J_1 is the active constraints And set J_2 is the active constraints

The optimality condition can be written as

$$\frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j \in J_1} \lambda_j \frac{\partial g_j(X)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n$$
$$g_j(X) = 0 \qquad \qquad j \in J_1$$
$$g_j(X) + y_j^2 = 0 \qquad \qquad j \in J_2$$



$$-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \lambda_1 \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_i} + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_i} + \lambda_3 \frac{\partial g_3}{\partial x_i} + \dots + \lambda_p \frac{\partial g_p}{\partial x_i}$$

$$-\nabla f = \lambda_1 \nabla g_1 + \lambda_2 \nabla g_2 + \lambda_3 \nabla g_3 + \dots + \lambda_m \nabla g_m$$

This indicates that negative of the gradient of the objective function can be expressed as a linear combination of the gradients of the active constraints at optimal point.

$$-\nabla f = \lambda_1 \nabla g_1 + \lambda_2 \nabla g_2$$

Let *S* be a feasible direction, then we can write

 $-S^T \nabla f = \lambda_1 S^T \nabla g_1 + \lambda_2 S^T \nabla g_2$

Since *S* is a feasible direction

 $S^T \nabla g_1 < 0$ and $S^T \nabla g_2 < 0$

$$i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n$$

$$\nabla f = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{cases} \qquad \nabla g_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_n} \end{cases}$$

If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ Then the term $S^T \nabla f$ is +ve

This indicates that *S* is a direction of increasing function value

Thus we can conclude that if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$, we will not get any better solution than the current solution



Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG





The necessary conditions to be satisfied at constrained minimum points X^* are

$$\frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j \in J_1} \lambda_j \frac{\partial g_j(X)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$
$$\lambda_i \ge 0 \qquad j \in J_1$$



These conditions are called **Kuhn-Tucker conditions**, the necessary conditions to be satisfied at a relative minimum of f(X).

These conditions are in general not sufficient to ensure a relative minimum, However, in case of a convex problem, these conditions are the necessary and sufficient conditions for global minimum.

If the set of active constraints are not known, the Kuhn-Tucker conditions can be stated as

$$\frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \frac{\partial g_j(X)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$
$$\lambda_j g_j = 0$$
$$g_j \le 0$$
$$\lambda_j \ge 0 \qquad \qquad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$$



Multivariable problem with equality and inequality constraints

For the problem

 Minimize
 f(X) Where $X = [x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n]^T$

 Subject to
 $g_j(X) = 0$ j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m

 $k_k(X) = 0$ k = 1, 2, 3, ..., p

The Kuhn-Tucker conditions can be written as

$$\frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \frac{\partial g_j(X)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k \frac{\partial h_k(X)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n$$

$$\lambda_j g_j = 0 \qquad \qquad j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m$$

$$g_j \le 0 \qquad \qquad j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m$$

$$h_k = 0 \qquad \qquad k = 1, 2, 3, ..., p$$

$$\lambda_j \ge 0 \qquad \qquad j = 1, 2, 3, ..., p$$



CE 602: Optimization Method

Rajib Bhattacharjya, IITG



Thanks for your attention